WEBINARS

Fisheries Subsidies: Refresher sessions

Session 2: Assessing options for combining prohibitions with exceptions

Friday 29 January | 9-11:00 a.m. CET





A few key general takeaways

- Global catch is decreasing since the late 1990s, but artisanal catch is increasing
- Fishing **capacity** of the global fleet has significantly expanded over the last decades (highest increase in South East Asia), driven in particular by the expansion of the powered-artisanal fleet
- Alongside this expansion, global catch per unit of effort (CPUE) has declined significantly (effective CPUE -85% since 1970)
- Artisanal fishing receives around a fifth of global fisheries subsidies
- Capacity-enhancing subsidies represent the majority of support to both artisanal and industrial fishing
- Fishing effort in areas beyond national jurisdiction:
 - On the high seas: 14% in terms of hours, 23% in term of kw/h
 - On the high seas and in foreign EEZs: 25% in terms of hours, 40% in term of kw/h

Summary: indicative data points on possible exceptions

Possible exception	AIS-covered effort (hours) ¹	AIS-covered effort (kWh) ¹	Catch (tonnage) ²	Number of vessels ³	Engine power ³	Effort (kW day) ³	WTO-relevant subsidies ⁴
LDCs	0.702%	0.99%	6.8%	7%	3%	5%	1.7%
Territorial sea (developing)	20%	10%	Inshore (50 km): 21%				
Territorial sea (developing) and EEZ (developing except China)	26%	18%	42% without China TS 49.6% with China EEZ			58% without China TS 61% with China EEZ	
Artisanal*			22% (Inshore: 16%)	87%	43%	55%	11.4%
Artisanal (developing)			15% (Inshore: 10%)	78%	34%	43%	5.1%

¹ Data from Global Fishing Watch; ² Data from Sea Around Us, University of British Columbia; ³ Data from Yannick Rousseau; ⁴ Data from Anna Schuhbauer

^{*} The way 'artisanal' is defined varies between studies